

Course-Section: CMSC 341 0101  
 Title DATA STRUCTURES  
 Instructor: ORDONEZ, PATRIC  
 Enrollment: 41  
 Questionnaires: 21

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Fall 2007

Page 432  
 FEB 13, 2008  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Questions	Frequencies								Instructor		Course	Dept	UMBC	Level	Sect
	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
-----															
General															
1. Did you gain new insights,skills from this course	0	0	1	0	7	8	5	3.76	1352/1639	4.27	4.13	4.27	4.28	3.76	
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	2	4	10	5	3.86	1294/1639	4.20	4.12	4.22	4.20	3.86	
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	0	1	3	0	8	9	4.00	973/1397	4.24	4.25	4.28	4.26	4.00	
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	4	3	1	2	4	7	3.65	1338/1583	4.10	4.15	4.19	4.24	3.65	
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	4	6	1	6	3	1	2.53	1499/1532	3.13	3.56	4.01	4.05	2.53	
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	12	0	2	3	1	3	3.56	1183/1504	3.77	4.07	4.05	4.12	3.56	
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	1	2	2	6	10	4.05	1016/1612	4.38	4.17	4.16	4.12	4.05	
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	1	20	4.95	331/1635	4.96	4.72	4.65	4.66	4.95	
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	0	9	6	3	3.67	1232/1579	4.18	3.90	4.08	4.07	3.67	
Lecture															
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	0	0	2	0	7	9	3	3.52	1416/1518	4.27	4.33	4.43	4.39	3.52	
2. Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	0	0	0	1	0	7	13	4.52	1173/1520	4.71	4.67	4.70	4.68	4.52	
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	0	0	2	1	6	9	3	3.48	1358/1517	4.15	4.07	4.27	4.23	3.48	
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	0	0	2	2	4	5	8	3.71	1254/1550	4.30	4.08	4.22	4.20	3.71	
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	0	5	2	1	7	3	3	3.25	1101/1295	4.03	3.91	3.94	3.95	3.25	
Discussion															
1. Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	16	0	0	2	2	0	1	3.00	****/1398	4.38	3.77	4.07	4.13	****	
2. Were all students actively encouraged to participate	16	0	0	1	1	2	1	3.60	****/1391	4.50	3.94	4.30	4.35	****	
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	16	0	0	1	1	1	2	3.80	****/1388	4.38	3.94	4.28	4.34	****	
4. Were special techniques successful	16	0	1	1	2	1	0	2.60	****/ 958	****	3.98	3.93	3.97	****	
Laboratory															
1. Did the lab increase understanding of the material	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/ 224	****	3.99	4.10	4.06	****	
2. Were you provided with adequate background information	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/ 240	****	4.41	4.11	4.08	****	
3. Were necessary materials available for lab activities	20	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/ 219	****	4.78	4.44	4.44	****	
Seminar															
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/ 85	****	4.00	4.58	4.50	****	
2. Was the instructor available for individual attention	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/ 82	****	3.00	4.52	4.59	****	
3. Did research projects contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/ 78	****	****	4.47	4.60	****	
4. Did presentations contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/ 80	****	2.00	4.47	4.65	****	
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 82	****	4.00	4.16	4.08	****	
Field Work															
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	20	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/ 42	****	****	4.75	4.63	****	
Self Paced															
1. Did self-paced system contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/ 50	****	1.00	4.45	5.00	****	
2. Did study questions make clear the expected goal	20	0	0	0	0	1	0	4.00	****/ 32	****	****	4.51	5.00	****	
3. Were your contacts with the instructor helpful	20	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/ 43	****	****	4.69	5.00	****	
4. Was the feedback/tutoring by proctors helpful	20	0	0	0	1	0	0	3.00	****/ 32	****	1.00	4.37	5.00	****	
5. Were there enough proctors for all the students	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	****/ 21	****	3.00	4.52	5.00	****	

Course-Section: CMSC 341 0101  
 Title DATA STRUCTURES  
 Instructor: ORDONEZ, PATRIC  
 Enrollment: 41  
 Questionnaires: 21

University of Maryland  
 Baltimore County  
 Fall 2007

Page 432  
 FEB 13, 2008  
 Job IRBR3029

Student Course Evaluation Questionnaire

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected Grades		Reasons	Type	Majors			
00-27	2	0.00-0.99	0	A	8	Required for Majors	0	Graduate	0	Major	19
28-55	1	1.00-1.99	0	B	8						
56-83	3	2.00-2.99	5	C	3	General	0	Under-grad	21	Non-major	2
84-150	4	3.00-3.49	5	D	1						
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	4	F	0	Electives	0	#### - Means there are not enough responses to be significant			
				P	0						
				I	0	Other	21				
				?	1						